

March 28, 1984

LB 667

the bill. So my amendment now basically does three things in addition to, of course, the sunset provision that we just added to it. First of all with regard to present financial obligations, it clarifies the provision that says that family support obligations are going to come first. Basically it says that those family support obligations are not going to be impaired by any order of the court. So those are always going to come first. We wanted to make that absolutely clear. The second thing that it does is to set up a mechanism by which a person who is ordered to pay for prison costs has a means of coming back to the court at a later point in time, when his or her circumstances may have changed, and get an order modifying or even eliminating that particular part of the order. So it builds in a little more flexibility into the system. Third and last, it sets a statutory cap on the dollar per day that can be charged, at \$35 a day maximum. Senator Peterson has indicated that that is about what they want to charge out there in Grand Island. Other places they can get by with less. But we want to avoid arguments on overcharging to fill prisons. We don't want to get into that situation. So we want to put a definite cap on it. If it becomes inappropriate in the future, then we can talk about it in the Legislature again. With that, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp or Senator Peterson. I don't know which one...

SENATOR DECAMP: Yes, Mr. President, I'd just say I support the amendment. It is a compromise that is reasonable. I know Senator Howard Peterson didn't want any limitation. I think the limitation is kind of reasonable and probably workable along with the other things. I yield the rest of my time to Senator Peterson.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Peterson.

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Mr. Chairman, since we added the sunset on it anyhow, my only objection before was that it might be an increase in costs which would mean we would need more money later on, but we can look at that in 1988. I'd